

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	, 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,837		09/17/2003	Pin-Hung Lin	FP9701	2692
52981	7590	11/15/2005		EXAM	INER
LEONG (THANH, Q	UANG D	
	PMB # 1008 1867 YGNACIO VALLEY ROAD				PAPER NUMBER
WALNUT CREEK, CA 94598				3764	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i></i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/663,837	LIN, PIN-HUNG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quang D. Thanh	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. It reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 S	September 2005.					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyaction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a prity documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on 09/17/05. As directed by the amendment, claims 1-11 have been canceled and new claim 12 has been added. Thus, claim 12 is presently pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamasaki et al. (5,183,034).

Yamasaki discloses a centrifugal, rotating power element comprising a motor 38 (fig. 3) having a driving shaft 331, a swinging member having a root section (bottom part of 35 including shaft 351 as shown in fig. 3) and an end section (top part of 35), and the root section (bottom part of 35) and the end section (top part of 35), which is made of elastic material such as rubber (col. 3, lines 7-11) thereby providing the end section with flexibility, and the weight of the root section and that of the end section are of certain ratio (fig. 3, col. 3, lines 7-22) such that the end portion is driven by the driving shaft in rotation to provide a centrifugal motion (circular path as shown in fig. 9), the root section and the end section are connected flexibly such that when the driving shaft rotates, the end portion of the swinging element is provided with an appropriate torsional swinging force as a result of the centrifugal force (circular path as shown in fig. 9); a connection

section of the end section and the root section of the swinging element is provided with a notch (indentation between the top part and the root part of massaging ball 35, best seen in figs. 5 and 6); wherein the driving shaft 421 (part of shaft 331) between the root section 422 of the swinging element and the motor is mounted with an anti-shock element 423 (best seen in fig. 8); wherein the anti-shock element is an elastic member (spring 423, col. 3, lines 38-44).

Yamasaki discloses the device having all the claimed features including a weight body 362 mounted on the end section 35 of the swinging element (fig. 5), except it is silent regarding a screw hole for mounting the weight body. However, it is well known in the art that fastening means such as screw, bolt or welding are conventionally used to secure elements together. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a screw hole for receiving a screw in order to attach the weight body to the end section, since the selection of any of these known equivalent fastening means would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/17/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive because they merely recite the claim having all the elements, but do not clearly point out the patentable novelty that the applicant thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the

references cited or the rejections made. Further more, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or rejections.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang D. Thanh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4982. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday & alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The Central FAX phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for all communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,837 Page 5

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quang D. Thanh Patent Examiner

May O. Clah

Art Unit 3764

(571) 272-4982